UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DI	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	v. Oliver Hernandez-Aguilar	Case No.1:21-cr	-00137-R I I			
	Defendant	Case No.1.21-01	-00107-1 00			
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformer specified and the Bail Reformer the Bail R	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Fir	dings of Fact				
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described ir a federal offense a state or local offense the existed – that is					
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense list	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.						
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: .*					
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.					
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv a minor victim	olves:				
	the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or an § 2250	y other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed who r local offense.	hile the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant					
	Alternative	Findings (A)				
(1)	1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		·			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar					
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	e Findings (B) ear.				
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	er the safety of another per	rson or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of t	he Reasons for Detentio	n			
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establis	shes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing			
2. Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont dant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be rele dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	eased in any case.	ld his circumstances change.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 28, 2021	Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens	
		Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge	